Charles Rudd C./- Postal Counter Levin 5500

16 December 2012

To whom It may concern; For your MMrmation

Eledlons.com

PO Box 3138 Christchurch 8140

Pena koutou katou

Referevee: Mumpoko Tribal Authority Incorporate (TATA) proposed mandate.

Subject: Musupoko Waitangi Claim,

Issue: Continuing colonist' Divide nod cononeor` Divide and rule' ethos'.

- I. k Me above named person, am a Mumma of the Mumpoko tribe.
- 1, am a penicipator, too the Mueupoko Kaunihera Kaumatua me raga)(Ws, roopu.
- 1. I, am a dirat descendent of the last permnoion chief of the Muaupako tribe, laueki'.
- From Taucki, I descend to his eldest child (0, then to her eldest child (m) and from there to his eldest child (0 Men from my meth.' to me.
- 5. I am Ahi ka, to the district where 1 reside.
- 6. 1 also descent from two other paramount chiefs', from two other districts.
- 7. I also have a registered claim with the Waitangi Tribunal, WAI 1631.
- It is my wish and desire to panicipMe in the Waitangi Tribunal research and place forward my knowledge and wisdom of Historical Treaty breaches from the Crown. against the Murunnko Iwo*.
- With my WAI 1631 claim, I do not wish or desire to go into direct negotiations with the Crown KTIS) or any other Crown agencies at this point of time, without having first gone though the processes and procedures, O reference to bullet-point eight (8) above.
- 10.1 do not wish or desire to have my WAI 1631 claim hijacked by the Mommoko Tribal Authority Incorporate (MTA), with their intentions to go into direct negotiations with the Crown (010). I object to this

- II(12. I strenuously object to the devious, malicious, and manipulative intent of the Muaupoko Tribal Authority Incorporate (MTA), to market my WAI 1631 claim number, without my authority or permission.
 - These devious, malicious and manipulative acts, are in themselves breaches of the Treaty of Waitangi 1840, article two.
 - 14.1 strenuously oppose the Muaupoko Tribal Authority Incorporate (MTA) proposed mandate strategy, because:
 - (a) My above masons.
 - (b) Crown agencies have not strenuously scrutinised the Muaupoko Tribal Authority Incorporate (MTA), for accountability, credibility, integrity and transparency, in the use of taxpayer money (fiduciary obligations) and by Fisheries money.

Note:

We all know about those Maori Tribal entities, whom over the past len years to date, have blown millions of dollars to the detriment of their people, ironed of being frugal with the interests of their people that they were supposed to represent.

The question is, why haven't the Government of the day done anything about it?

The question has to be asked and answered. Is the Muaupoko Tribal Authority Incorporate (MTA), trading insolvent, and if so, are they the ones to lead on behalf of the Muaupoko people?

- (e) The MTA's Hap/ Iwi registration list was not available when asked, to be critiqued by individuals of the Muaupoko people, prior to the Mueopoko Tribal Authority Incorporate (MTA) proposed mandate, strategy.
- (d) The MTA's proposed mandate presentation meeting of the people at Hastings, Palmerston North, Levin and Wellington have very poor attendance from a tribal perspective. Questions were asked, but many answers were not given. It got too hot for them at Palmerston North, so they closed the meeting down.
- The MTA's Pathway to Settlement pamphlet has misleading information.
- (d) Misinformation and mixed communication was given out by the MTA personnel.
- Mixed communication was given out by <u>Elealans.com</u> phone operators
- 15.1 say to you <u>Eledions.com</u>, your credibility and integrity may be on the line, because you may be helping to market a product, that may not be kosher.

- Muaupoko has thirty six (36) historical Waitangi claims registered with the Waitangi Tribunal. of wiled. the Muaupoko Tribal Authreity Incorporate (MTA), is only one of the claimants of the dirty six
- If. With the swat of Crown agents such as Crown Forestry Rental Trust, Te Puni Kokiri, and the Office of Treaty Settlement, thirty- five (35) claimants ate being railroaded in a collusion take over bid by the Muaupoko Tribal Authority Incorporate (MTA).
- IS. Crown Forestry Rental Tent, financially supports the Muaupoko Tribal Authority Incorporate (MTA), bed not the other thirty-five Muaupoko claimants.
- Te Puni Kokiri, helps to market the Muaupoko Tflbal Authority Incorporate (MIA), but not the other thirty- five Muaupoko claimants
- 20. Office of Treaty Settlement is seen to orchestrate the echoic show towards direct negotiations, in support of the Musrpoko Tribal Authority Incorporate (MTA), but not the other thirty-five Mustupoko claimants, of which the on majority do not want or need to go through the direct negotiations with OTS.

Hence to what I have outlined is a Continuing colonial' Divide and ronqueor' / 'Divide and rule' ea.', issue that is an enforcement breach niche Treaty of Waitangi 1840, where crown agents are using Maori against Maori, at the taxpayers mpense.

This somdMd mandate strategy is not asking the Muaupoko people what they want, it is telling the Muaupoko people what they want, (Dictatorship by choice)

Claim nos nor

Charles Rudd (tor)

CC. To others, to whom it may concern

Muaupoko Tribal Authority Inc.

o Tribal Authority is mandated to repres

2012 MANDATE VOTING PAPER

Thal Ihe WM. Tribal Authority be the Mandated En.to enter into dir ect negoniations for the settlement of xism. 1(pe1992) rown for MA...

Only du.. ene ption. Prear,,,/,,early In one erste.

Resolution Musipoko in Treaty actifement negotiations with the Craregarding the comprehensive settlement of the Musipoko
historical Treaty of Waltang claims.

[I napt van mete don oparehestate

MGM I DEA2

mete don oparehestate tel eased all waageewn.rnee astrayed...



Charles Rudd Cl- Postal Counter Levin 5500

19 December 2012

To whom it may concern; For your Information

-

Testa koutou katoa, ano

Reference: Muoupoko Tribal Authority Incorporate (MTA) proposed mandate.

Subject: Musupoko Waitangi Claims

Issue: Continuing colonial' Divide and conqueor' PDivide and rule' ethos'.

"Every object tells a story, feel the message, and don't shoot the messenger".

This communication is an additional follow-up, to the correspondence dated the 16° of December 2012, which was also sent to *Elea fons.com*, PO Box 3138, Christchurch 8140.

- I. The Muaupoko vibe has 36 Waitangi claims before the Waitangi Tribunal, in the Farina ki Mattawan, district. They are set out as such:
 - 23 Claimants aligned to the Muaupoko Cluster Claimant group.
 - 12 Independent claimants
 - I Muaupoko Tribal Authority Incorporate (MTA), claimant
- The essence of my point is that the Muaupoko Tribal Authority Incorporate (MTA), with their one claim and with the help of Crown agents, are deviously manipulating to take ova all of the Muaupoko claims, so that they can go into direct negotiations with the Office of Treaty Settlements.
 - We of the Muaupoko Cluster Claimant group, do not want to go into direct negotiations with the Office of Treaty Settlements at this point of time, as we need to go through the Waitangi Tribunal research process beforehand, to do justice and due diligence to our individual claims
- Crown Forest Rental Trust, Te Puni Kokiri, the Office of Treaty Settlements and the Mucopoko Tribal Authority Incorporate (MTA), are all in breach of the Treaty of Waitangi, 1840, Article.. Tino Rangatiratanga / Self Determination.

The Crown Forest Rental Trust is financially subsidising the Muaupoko Tribal Authority Incorporate (MTA) with their one claim, and refuses to financially subsidies the Muaupoko Cluster Claimant group with their twenty three claimants, or the other Claimants. Crown Forest Rental is not asking the Muaupoko people what they want, they are telling the Muaupoko people what they want.

Where is the fair play in that, I ask? Where is the justice, legally or morally?

5. Te Puni Kokiri is also perceived to be supportive of the Muaupoko Tribal Authority Incorporate (MTA)'s, in the MTh's manipulate marketing svategies, in reference to the proposed mandate to deviously take over the other claimants Waltangi claim, by stealth.

The new Chief Executive of Te Puni Kokiri Michelle Hippolit, is perceived to have a conflict of interest because she has connections to a person who hos been convected to help the Muaupoko Tribal Authority Incorporate (MTA), to market the MTA's proposed take over mandate strategy.

That is a conflict of interest because Te Puni Kokiri is not asking the Muaupoko people what they wan, they are telling the Muaupoko people what they want.

- 6 The Office of Treaty Settlements along with the others are perceived to be deploying Divide and Conqueor / Divide and Rule strategies and tactic's (Maori against Maori) which amounts to institutional racism and ethnic cleansing.
 - Te Puri Kokiri and the Office of Treaty Settlements must be brought to account to what is perceived to be deviousness and manipulation, straight eller the Tatum Park hui, on the 19 day of October 2012.

 The deed that they have contrived on that above dale amounted to kupapatanga (Crown

Maori using Maori against Maori), which sets the Muaupoko people, against the Muaupoko PeePie.

8 I ask that, what right and by whose authority does Crown Forest Rental Trust, Te Puni Koichi, the Office of Treaty Settlements and the Muaupoko Tribal Authority Incomonue (MTA), and ny hidden others, have to market my WAI 1631, Waitangi Tribunal registration number in the MTA's proposed mandate propaganda?

Wai 1631, is my intellectual property as such, my domain that as I see it, that only the Waitanqi Tribunal processes, and others that I give permission can use.

Further matters:

9. A Mr. Philip Taoeki, whom is of the Muaupoko tribe and also a Waitangi Tribunal claimant, approximately two years ago or thereabout, made an application to the Maori Land Court under 'Section 29' of the 'Te Tom Whenoa Maori Act 1993', Maori Land Act 1993'.

Prior to the time of his' Section 29' application, Mr. Taueke rightfully an became annoyed at the ongoing internal bickerings and incompetence within the Muaupoko vibe, hence his application.

Right up to date, nothing has eventuated regarding his application, and mauers have come to breaking point and violence within the Muaupoko Tribc. Matters are perceived to be of either procrastination, incompetence, or both by one or all of the parties that should had serviced, processed and executed those proceedings.

- 10. 'Section 29' of the Ire Tore When, Maori Act 1993 / Maori Land Act 1993'.
 - 29. Reference to Court for inquiry:
 - (I) Minister, the Chief Executive, or the Chief Judge may at any time refer to the Court for inquiry and report any matter as to which, in the opinion of the Minister, the Chief Executive, or the Chief Judge, it may be necessary or expedient that any such inquiry should be made.
 - (2) A reference under this section shall be deemed to be an application within the ordinary jurisdiction of the Maori Land Court, and the Maori Land Court shall have full power and authority accordingly to hear the matter and to make such repor and recommendation on the matter to the Minister, the Chief Executive, or the Chief Judge as the Maori Land Court thinks proper.

Note: With respect of the above, and with the intimidation's, threats, harassment's, assaults, home invasions, and neaps notices going on within the Muaupoko people in reference to Meaupolco politics, it may escalate to far worse. Which brings up the subject, such as:

There was an important job to be done and Everybody was asked to do it.

Anybody could have done it, but Nobody did it.

Somebody got angry about AIM because it was Everybody's job.

Everybody thought that Anybody could do it, but Nobody realised that

Everybody would not do it.

It ended up that Everybody blamed Somebody when actually Nobody asked

Anybody.

- PS: Does that above saying seem familiar to any of you political representatives?
- I.I. Incompetence and or procrastination must not be the name of a serious outcome, therefore am of the opinion and recommendation that for the Muaupoko tribe, 'Section 29' of the 'Te Tore Whenua Maori Act 1993 / Maori Land Act 1993'must be followed up with:
- 12. 'Section 30' of the 'To Turn Whence Maori Act 1993 / Maori Land Act 1993'.
 - Maori Land Court's jurisdiction to advise on or determine representation of Muni groups:

- (I) The Maori land Court may do either of the following things:
 - advise other courts, commissions, or tribunals as to who are the most appropriate representatives of a class or group of Maori:
 - determine, by order, who are the most appropriate representatives of a class or group of Maori.
- (2) The juisdiction of the Maori Land Court in subsection (1) applies to representation of a class or group of Maori in or for the purpose of (current or intended) proceedings, neoptations, consultations, allocations of property, or other matters.
 - (3) A request for a advice or an application for an order under subsection (I) is an application within the ordinary jurisdiction of the Maori Land Court, and the Maori Land Cola has the power and authority to give advice and make determination as the Courts thinks Penner.1

So to the above, what is the problem? What is the hold up to Mr. Philip Tack, application. So I am asking all of you politicians, please inquire into this urgent and serious matter?

Recommendation:,

In reference to Muaupoko Waitangi daims that That Mr. Philip Taueki section 29 application is proceeded to forthwith with urgency and then followed up with the section 30.

And

In reference to all of the 36 Mumpoko Waitangi claimants, that all of the claimants is enforced into an inclusive consensus group of one body, but not as an MTA takeover. And that the Crown and its agents do not transact business with the claimants until that has eventuated

- I am communicating with all of you differing political party leaders because you were all
 voted in by the people of Aotearoa / New Zealand, to service and protect all our interests
 without fear or favour.
- Logic and common sense must dictate that logic and common sense must prevail, to what I
 have out-lined in my two correspondence communications.
- Please do not make the mistake of treating the message Ma I have outlined as an opinion or allegation as such, without first making a serious inquiry into the matters. Remember Mat I am a messenger.

Tens koutou, tens }mama tens koutou katoa. Hurl not

Naku noa na

Charles Rudd (snr)